Local Flood Risk Management Strategy for Kent: Consultation Questions

Section 1

Is it clear what the Local Strategy is for?
Is it clear who the Local Strategy is relevant to?
Do you think the definition of local flooding is clear?

Section 2

Is the overview of flood risks is Kent of an appropriate level of detail for the Local Strategy?

Should any other types of flooding be included?

Is it appropriate to reference the relevant flood risk management documents in this section, rather than provide an overview of the flood risk management strategy in Kent?

Should this section include any other flood risk management strategies? Is the description of future changes appropriate to the Local Strategy? Do you think anything else should be included in this section?

Section 3

Are all the relevant flood risk management authorities included in this section? Is the description of their roles accurate?
Are all the relevant flood risk management partnerships included?
Is the description of their roles accurate?
Should anything else be included in this section?

Section 4

Does this section include the relevant background documents? Is it clear how these relate to the delivery of the Local Strategy? Are the objectives clear? Do you agree with the objectives? Should any other objectives be included?

Section 5

Is it clear how KCC will exercise its powers and duties under the Flood and Water Management Act and implement the Local Strategy?

Do you agree with how we are proposing to deliver the strategic overview role?

Do you agree with the definition of significant in Section 5.1? Do you think that the Local Flood Risk Policy areas in Section 5.7 are appropriate? Should any other be added? If yes please provide evidence. Do you agree with the Local Flood Risk Policies in Section 5.7? Do you agree with the policies that have been allocated to the policy areas? If not please explain why with supporting evidence.

Do you agree with how we are proposing to prioritise where we will invest to deliver flood risk management schemes? If not please provide an alternative why of prioritising.

Should any other functions be included in this section?

Section 6

Is it clear how we are asking all risk management authorities to work together to deliver the Local Strategy?

Do you agree with how risk management authorities are being asked to contribute to the delivery of the Local Strategy?

Should any other functions exercised by risk management authorities be included in this section?

Section 7

Have we included all the important potential sources of funding for flood defences?

Is it clear how flood defence projects progress from identification to delivery?

Section 8

Have we included all other aspects that should be included in developing a flood risk management scheme?

Is it clear how these other aspects should be considered in developing flood risk management schemes?

Section 9

Is it clear what the three different tables refer to? Have we included everything that we can to help deliver the local strategy? Is it clear who will do what to deliver the Local Strategy?

Overview

Are there any other areas that the Local Strategy should cover? Is there any more information that the Local Strategy should provide?